12/27/2007 THU 10:52 FAX

2024/027

RECEIVED CENTRAL FAX CENTER

DEC 27 2007

Serial No.09/854,913 Filed: 05/14/2001 Page 21

REMARKS

In response to the restriction requirement dated July 10, 2006, the Applicants elect Claims 1-20, 27-30 and 35-36 with traverse for continuing examination.

The Examiner has asserted that Claims 21-26 and 31-34 are distinct subcombinations that have separate utility as credit application [sic] and a computer for processing time. It is respectfully submitted that both are so intertwined and dependent on the methods claimed in claims 1 and 30, respectively, that they do not have separate utility. Both alleged subcombinations are part and parcel of the remote on the spot credit processing method claimed in claims 1 and 30 and thus, no additional searching would be required.

The specification, claims and abstract have been amended to correct typographical errors.

The Examiner is urged to call the undersigned if a telephone call will expedite the examination of the application.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: December 26, 2007

Adam L. Brookman

Reg. No. 32,401

Attorney for Applicant Adam L. Brookman BOYLE PREDRICKSON, S.C. 840 N. Plankinton Avenue Milwaukee, WI 53203 Tel.: (414) 225-1678

Tel.: (414) 225-1678

Fax: (414) 225-9573

<u>abrookman@boylefred.com</u>

{00156453.DOC /}